

# INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON AUDIT RESEARCH 2015

## Preliminary Program

**Thursday, June 18 2015**

19.30–21.30

**Reception**

**Friday, June 19 2015**

7.30–8.20

**Registration**

8.20–8.30

**Opening and Words of Welcome**

**Ken Trotman**, UNSW Australia

**Arnold Wright**, Northeastern University

8.30–10.00

**Plenary Session**

***Determinants and Indicators of Audit Quality***

**Chair: Jean C Bedard**, Bentley University

**Joe Carcello**, University of Tennessee

**Greg Jonas**, Public Company Accounting Oversight Board

**Jim Liddy**, Vice Chair Audit KPMG

**Chris McWilton**, AC Chair Nortek and COO Mastercard

10.00–10.30

**Morning Tea**

10.30–12.00

**Concurrent Sessions**

**Session 1.1: Audit Pricing**

**Session 1.2: Critical (Key) Audit Matters**

***The Impact of Mandatory Audit Fee Disclosure  
on Price Competition in the Audit Market***

**Liesbeth Averhals**

KU Leuven

**Tom van Caneghem**

KU Leuven

**Marleen Willekens**

KU Leuven

***Does the Audit Market Price Big 4 Experience  
in Non-Big 4 Firms?***

**Aleksandra Zimmerman**

Case Western Reserve University

***Do Auditors Respond to Unique Characteristics  
of Individual Executives? Evidence from Audit  
Pricing Decisions***

**John Lauck**

Virginia Tech

**Joseph Rakestraw**

Virginia Tech

**Sarah Stein**

Virginia Tech

***The Impact of a Judgment Rule and Critical Audit  
Matters on Assessments of Auditor Legal Liability –  
The Moderating Role of Legal Knowledge***

**Tim Brown**

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

**Tracie Majors**

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

**Mark Peecher**

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

***The Disclaimer Effect of Disclosing Critical Audit  
Matters in the Auditor's Report***

**Steven Kachelmeier**

The University of Texas at Austin

**Jaime Schmidt**

The University of Texas at Austin

**Kristen Valentine**

The University of Texas at Austin

***Effects of Key Audit Matter Standard and Audit  
Committee Proactiveness on Auditors' Communication  
to the Audit Committee and Decisions on Client's  
Accounting Estimates***

**Evelyn Gay**

Nanyang Technological University

**Terence Ng**

Nanyang Technological University

12.00–13.30

**Lunch and Plenary**

**Speaker: John C. Coffee Jr.**, Adolf A. Berle Professor of Law, Columbia University

13.30–15.00	<b>Concurrent Sessions</b>	
	<b>Session 2.1: Corporate Governance</b>	<b>Session 2.2: Professional Skepticism</b>
	<p><i>If the Audit Committee Speaks, does the Board Listen? Interdependencies between the Audit Committee and the Rest of the Board</i></p> <p><b>Mathijs van Peteghem</b> KU Leuven</p> <p><b>Liesbeth Bruynseels</b> KU Leuven</p> <p><b>Ann Gaeremynck</b> KU Leuven</p> <p><i>Are All Outside Directors Independent? An Investigation of the Compliant Director Market</i></p> <p><b>Mark Peecher</b> University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign</p> <p><b>Stephen Rowe</b> Tulane University</p> <p><b>Padmakumar Sivadasan</b> Tulane University</p> <p><i>The Association between Audit Committee Chair Characteristics and Financial Reporting Process</i></p> <p><b>Liesbeth Bruynseels</b> KU Leuven</p> <p><b>Ganesh Krishnamoorthy</b> Northeastern University</p> <p><b>Arnold Wright</b> Northeastern University</p>	<p><i>Motivating Auditor Skepticism</i></p> <p><b>Kathryn Kadous</b> Emory University</p> <p><b>Dan Zhou</b> Emory University</p> <p><i>Professional Skepticism and Belief Revision in Auditing</i></p> <p><b>Christopher Koch</b> Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz</p> <p><b>Annette Köhler</b> University of Duisburg-Essen</p> <p><b>Kristina Yankova</b> University of Duisburg-Essen</p> <p><i>Recognition and Control of Professional Skepticism in Big 4 and Non-Big 4 Audit Firms</i></p> <p><b>Robert Knechel</b> University of Florida</p> <p><b>Amin Sofla</b> Umea University</p> <p><b>Tobias Svanstrom</b> Umea University</p>
	<b>Afternoon Tea</b>	
15.30–17.00	<b>Concurrent Sessions</b>	
	<b>Session 3.1: Financial Institutions and Institutional Investors</b>	<b>Session 3.2: Financial Reporting Issues–Impact on Auditors</b>
	<p><i>Voluntary Audits and Bank Failure: Do financial Statement Audits Constrain Excessive Risk Taking?</i></p> <p><b>Jane Barton</b> Indiana University</p> <p><b>Leslie Hodder</b> Indiana University</p> <p><b>Marcy Shepardson</b> Indiana University</p>	<p><i>The Impact of Principles versus Rules Accounting Standards and Task Subjectivity on Auditor Reporting Judgments and Negotiation Tactics</i></p> <p><b>Helen Brown-Liburd</b> Rutgers Business School</p> <p><b>Danielle Lombardi</b> Villanova University</p> <p><b>Arnold Wright</b> Northeastern University</p> <p><b>Sally Wright</b> University of Massachusetts Boston</p>

	<p><i><b>The Role of Institutional Investors in the Market Reaction to Going Concern Audit Reports</b></i></p> <p><b>Steven Kaplan</b> Arizona State University</p> <p><b>Michael Mowchan</b> Arizona State University</p> <p><b>Eric Weisbrod</b> University of Miami</p> <p><i><b>Audit Firm Tenure, Bank Complexity and Financial Reporting Quality</b></i></p> <p><b>Brian Bratten</b> University of Kentucky</p> <p><b>Monika Causholli</b> University of Kentucky</p> <p><b>Thomas Omer</b> University of Nebraska-Lincoln</p>	<p><i><b>Internal Auditors' Perceptions of the Likelihood of Accrual-Based vs. Real Earnings Management</b></i></p> <p><b>Dereck Barr-Pulliam</b> University of Wisconsin - Madison</p> <p><i><b>The Gap between Auditing Experts' Performance and Regulatory Expectations when Auditing Complex Estimates and Fair Value Measurements: Causes and Potential Solutions</b></i></p> <p><b>Steven Glover</b> Brigham Young University</p> <p><b>Mark Taylor</b> Case Western Reserve University</p> <p><b>Yi-Jing Wu</b> Case Western Reserve University</p>
17.30	<b>Boarding of buses for Odyssey of Boston harbor dinner cruise</b>	
<b>Saturday, June 20 2015</b>		
7.30–8.30	<b>Breakfast and Poster Session</b>	
	<p><i><b>Quality Control In Audit Firms: Do Auditors Learn from Going Concern Errors?</b></i></p> <p><b>Jaehan Ahn</b>, University of Oklahoma <b>Kevan Jensen</b>, University of Oklahoma</p> <p><i><b>The Effect of National Culture on Auditor-in-charge Involvement</b></i></p> <p><b>Olof Bik</b>, Nyenrode Business University <b>Reggy Hooghiemstra</b>, University of Groningen</p> <p><i><b>The Effects of Audit Partner Profit Sharing on Audit Quality and Environmental Moderators Affecting This Link</b></i></p> <p><b>Herman van Brenk</b>, Nyenrode Business University</p> <p><i><b>Audit Partner Experiences and Audit Quality</b></i></p> <p><b>Joost van Buuren</b>, Nyenrode Business University <b>Monika Causholli</b>, University of Kentucky</p> <p><i><b>The Informative Value of the Auditors' Going-Concern Opinion Incremental to Signals from Other Information Intermediaries</b></i></p> <p><b>Nadine Funcke</b>, Rotterdam Erasmus University</p> <p><i><b>Auditors' Materiality Judgments under Integrated Reporting: The Impact of Strategic Relevance of Reported Information and Strategic Linkages in the Client's Integrative Performance Measurement System</b></i></p> <p><b>Wendy Green</b>, UNSW Australia <b>Mandy Cheng</b>, UNSW Australia</p> <p><i><b>Managing Audit Errors: An exploratory Study on Preventing the Covering Up and Encouraging the Learning from Error</b></i></p> <p><b>Therese Grohnert</b>, Maastricht University <b>Roger Meuwissen</b>, Maastricht University <b>Wim Gijsselaers</b>, Maastricht University</p>	

	<p><i>Effects of Audit Partners on Clients' Business Risk Disclosure</i>  <b>Hyonok Kim</b>, Tokyo Keizai University  <b>Hironori Fukukawa</b>, Hitotsubashi University</p> <p><i>The Effects of Donor Pressure and Strength of Governance on Nonprofit Auditors' Assessments of Program Expense Allocations</i>  <b>John Lauck</b>, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University  <b>Sudip Bhattacharjee</b>, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University</p> <p><i>PCAOB International Inspections: Are Global Audit Firm Networks Effective in Mitigating Quality Control Deficiencies?</i>  <b>Ashna Prasad</b>, UNSW Australia</p> <p><i>The Effect of Legal Environment and Corporate Governance on the Decision to Assure and Assurance Provider Choice: Evidence from the GHG Assurance Market</i>  <b>Shan Zhou</b>, University of Sydney</p> <p><i>Economic Consequences of PCAOB Inspections: Impact on Information Asymmetry over Time</i>  <b>Lei Zou</b>, Maastricht University  <b>Ann Vanstraelen</b>, Maastricht University  <b>Patrick Vorst</b>, Maastricht University</p>	
8.30–10.00	<b>Concurrent Sessions</b>	
	<b>Session 4.1: Impact of Auditor Compensation and Type</b>	<b>Session 4.2: Auditor/Management Interactions</b>
	<p><i>Audit Contract Types and Audit Quality</i>  <b>Klaus Henselmann</b>  University of Erlangen-Nuremberg  <b>Michael Stich</b>  University of Erlangen-Nuremberg  <b>Nadine Winkler</b>  University of Erlangen-Nuremberg</p> <p><i>Scientific Auditors</i>  <b>Jürgen Ernstberger</b>  Technische Universität München  <b>Christopher Koch</b>  Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz  <b>Martin Prott</b>  Technische Universität München</p> <p><i>How Do Audit Firms' Compensation Policies Affect Audit Quality?</i>  <b>Jürgen Ernstberger</b>  Technische Universität München  <b>Christopher Koch</b>  Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz  <b>Eva Maria Wuehst</b>  Technische Universität München</p>	<p><i>Can Auditors Detect Deceptive Discussions during Earnings Conference Calls?</i>  <b>Jessen Hobson</b>  University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  <b>William Mayew</b>  Duke University  <b>Mark Peecher</b>  University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  <b>Mohan Venkatachalam</b>  Duke University</p> <p><i>How Management's Expressions of Confidence Influence Audit Judgment</i>  <b>Sanaz Aghazadeh</b>  Lehigh University  <b>Jennifer Joe</b>  University of Delaware</p> <p><i>Coordination and Communication Challenges in Global Groups Audits</i>  <b>Denise Hanes Downey</b>  Villanova University  <b>Jean C Bedard</b>  Bentley University</p>

10.00–10.30	<b>Morning Tea</b>	
10.30–12.00	<b>Concurrent Sessions</b>	
	<b>Session 5.1: Regulatory Influences</b>	<b>Session 5.2: Use of Specialists and Consultants</b>
	<p><i>Regulatory Oversight and Audits in Capital Markets</i></p> <p><b>Minlei Ye</b> University of Toronto</p> <p><b>Dan Simunic</b> University of British Columbia</p> <p><i>Auditor Reporting Incentives and Proximity to SEC Offices</i></p> <p><b>Mark DeFond</b> University of Southern California</p> <p><b>Jere Francis</b> University of Missouri-Columbia</p> <p><b>Nicholas Hallman</b> University of Missouri-Columbia</p> <p><i>Audit Committee Influence on Audit Firm Selection, Retention, Efficiency, and Fees</i></p> <p><b>Brant Christensen</b> Texas A&amp;M University</p> <p><b>Thomas Omer</b> University of Nebraska-Lincoln</p> <p><b>Marjorie Shelley</b> University of Nebraska-Lincoln</p> <p><b>Paul Wong</b> Texas A&amp;M University</p>	<p><i>Specialists or Colleagues: Who Do Auditors Listen To?</i></p> <p><b>Brian Fitzgerald</b> Northeastern University</p> <p><i>Determinants of Consultant Opinions in Audit Quality Control Consultations: The Effects of Consultants' Status Motives, Specialized Knowledge, and Decision Authority</i></p> <p><b>Robert Knechel</b> University of Florida</p> <p><b>Justin Leiby</b> University of Florida</p> <p><i>Auditors' and Specialists' Views about the Use of Specialists during an Audit</i></p> <p><b>Efrim Boritz</b> University of Waterloo</p> <p><b>Natalia Kochetova-Kozloski</b> St. Mary's University</p> <p><b>Linda Robinson</b> University of Waterloo</p> <p><b>Christopher Wong</b> University of Waterloo</p>
12.00–13.00	<b>Lunch</b>	
13.00–14.30	<b>Concurrent Sessions</b>	
	<b>Session 6.1: Auditor Reporting</b>	<b>Session 6.2: External Influence on Audit Practice</b>
	<p><i>Are Voluntary Internal Controls-related Audit Report Disclosures Informative in IPOs?</i></p> <p><b>Keith Czerney</b> University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign</p>	<p><i>The Effect of Audit Regulation on Staffing Decisions</i></p> <p><b>Carlin Dowling</b> University of Melbourne</p> <p><b>Robert Knechel</b> University of Florida</p> <p><b>Robyn Moroney</b> Monash University</p>

	<p><i>Consequences of Changing the Auditor's Report: Early Evidence from the U.K.</i></p> <p><b>Elizabeth Gutierrez</b> Florida International University</p> <p><b>Miguel Minutti-Meza</b> University of Miami</p> <p><b>Kay Tatum</b> University of Miami</p> <p><b>Maria Vulcheva</b> Florida International University</p> <p><i>The Informative Value of Auditors' Going concern Emphasis of Matter: Evidence from a Quasi- Natural Experiment</i></p> <p><b>Jean Bédard</b> Université Laval</p> <p><b>Carl Brousseau</b> Université Laval</p> <p><b>Ann Vanstraelen</b> Maastricht University</p>	<p><i>Increasing the Relevance of Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting through Reporting Enhancements and Stakeholder-Centric Assurance</i></p> <p><b>Jonathan Grenier</b> Miami University</p> <p><b>James Bierstaker</b> Villanova University</p> <p><b>Brian Ballou</b> Miami University</p> <p><b>Dan Heitger</b> Miami University</p> <p><i>Audit Review: Aligning the Supervisor's Approach and the Subordinate's Feedback Orientation</i></p> <p><b>Lindsay Andiola</b> Bentley University</p> <p><b>Jean C Bedard</b> Bentley University</p>
14.30–15.00	<b>Afternoon Tea</b>	
15.00–16.30	<p><b>Plenary Session</b></p> <p><i>Audit Reporting Model</i></p> <p><b>Chair: Ted Mock</b>, University of California, Riverside</p> <p><b>Roger Simnett</b> UNSW Australia</p> <p><b>Cindy Fornelli</b> Centre for Audit Quality</p> <p><b>Arnold Schilder</b> Chair of the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board</p> <p><b>Marty Baumann</b> Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</p>	
16.30–16.45	<p><b>Closing and Invitation to ISAR 2016 in Singapore</b></p> <p><b>Ganesh Krishnamoorthy</b>, Northeastern University</p> <p><b>Roger Simnett</b>, UNSW Australia</p> <p><b>Terence Ng</b>, Nanyang Technological University</p>	